

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,655	BEHRENBRUCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charlie C. Agwumezie	3621	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Final

(1) Charlie C. Agwumezie.

(3) _____.

(2) Carol LaSalle (Atty. for Applicant).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
1-20

Claims discussed:
1-20

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's amendment introduced a new matter which was not disclosed in the specification. Examiner inquired for sake of clarity whether Applicant disclosed it elsewhere which was not apparent to the Examiner. Applicant conceded that the biological subject was indeed a new matter..